





UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONEROF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, DC 10231
www.uspto.gov

				11		
APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKETNO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/689,928	10/12/2000		Arihiro Takeda	2803.64683	7460	
7:	590	08/29/2002				
Patrick G. Burns				EXAM	EXAMINER	
Greer, Burns & Crain, Ltd. 300 S WACKER DRIVE				NGUYEN, DUNG T		
25TH FLOOR Chicago, IL 60606			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
550, 12				2871		

DATE MAILED: 08/29/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.





Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/689,928

Examiner

Applicant(s)

Art Unit

Dung Nguyen

rt Unit **2871**

Takeda et al.



Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE					
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the meximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
 - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Apr 15, 2002	_· '				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 150-152 and 154-170 is/are pending in the application	1				
4a) Of the above, claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consider	ation.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) X Claim(s) 150-152 and 154-170 is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	. 1				
8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or election require	ment.				
Application Papers					
9) \square The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the E	caminer.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.	ļ				
12) \square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some* c) None of:	İ				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).					
a) United translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.					
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
3) 💢 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					



Application/Control Number: 09/689,928

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 06/05/2002 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 150-152, 154-170 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koma, US Patent No. 5,608,556, in view of Hirata et al., US Patent No. 5953093.

Regarding the above claims, Koma disclose an active matrix liquid crystal display (LCD) device (figures 4-6 and 8-10) comprising:

- a first substrate (10) and a second substrate (30);
- a liquid crystal (40) having a negative dielectric constant anisotropy;



Application/Control Number: 09/689,928

Art Unit: 2871

- a thin film transistor (TFT) forming on the first substrate;
- first and second domain regulating means for regulating azimuths of orientations of the liquid crystal when a voltage is applied to the liquid crystal (fig. 5);
- the first and second domain regulating means are arranged on the substrates so that the first domain regulating means substantially surrounds the second domain regulating means in the display areas of the pixels (fig. 6);
- the first and second domain regulating means consist of slits (33) provided on the substrates.

However, Koma does not disclose the first and second domain regulating means consists of protrusions provided on the first substrate and/or the second substrate and the first domain regulating means substantially surrounds the second domain regulating means in each pixel in a display area. Hirata et al. do disclose a domain regulating means consists of protrusions (figure 19) and/or slits (figure 22) provided on substrates as well as a first domain regulating means substantially surrounds the second domain regulating means in each pixel in a display area (e.g., figures 12 and 14). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the Koma's LCD device including first and second means being protrusions and/or slits as well as the first domain regulating means substantially surrounds the second domain regulating means in each pixel in a display area as shown by Hirata et al. in order to improve viewing angle characteristic for all viewing direction without degrading the display quality of an LCD device (col. 7, lines 35-37).

Application/Control Number: 09/689,928

Art Unit: 2871

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Dung Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-0423. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-7722.

Any information of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

DN 08/26/2002

TOANTON
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 4